

Article

---

**Adoption of Genetically Modified Technologies in Agriculture and their sustainability: Some issues**

Journal of Development Economics and Management Research Studies (JDMS), A Peer Reviewed Open Access International Journal  
ISSN: 2582 5119 (Online)  
08(08), 46-52, April-June 2021  
@Center for Development Economic Studies (CDES)  
Reprints and permissions  
<http://www.cdes.org.in/>  
<http://www.cdes.org.in/journal/>

---

**Adoption of Genetically Modified Technologies in Agriculture and their sustainability: Some issues**

A.Sivaprakasam<sup>1</sup>, A. Abdul Raheem<sup>2</sup> and T.Vaidegi<sup>3</sup>

**Abstract**

*To fulfill the always expanding need for food grains, agrarian Sustainability and the need to create advances that don't affect the climate is the need of great importance. In spite of extraordinary advancement in rural profitability, it would be over-hopeful to accept that the rural creation will stay direct later on. Critical difficulties, notwithstanding, stay to create arrangements that will uphold the more extensive development of more feasible types of agrarian creation, Integrate organic and natural cycles into food creation, limit the utilization of pesticides that cause mischief to the strength of the ranchers , customers and climate. This paper investigations the issues encompassing the selection of GM Technologies and examines the components influencing the shopper and the ramifications of results on the future commitment of GM innovation to agribusiness.*

Keywords: GMO, Gene, GM Technology, Identity preservation.

---

<sup>1</sup> Ph.D Research Scholar (Part-Time), PG and Research Department of Economics, The New College (autonomous), Chennai-14.

<sup>2</sup> Associate Professor and Research Supervisor, PG and Research Department of Economics, The New College (autonomous), Chennai-14.

<sup>3</sup> Ph.D Research Scholar (Part-Time), PG and Research Department of Economics, The New College (autonomous), Chennai-14.

## 1.1 Introduction

Science is always truth seeking, beautiful, and caring. The science of GM technology is no exception. It is the application part of the science which, at times, generates contradictions, and not the science *per se*. While there is general appreciation of the potential and impact of GM technology, controversies generally surround the transformation component resulting in Genetically Modified Organisms (GMOs), which may pose certain risks Inherent to the technology. Therefore, it is not the science or the technology which is a subject of controversy, but it is the mode and nature of its application, through techniques and technologies, which could stir contradictions.

Science is consistently truth chasing, wonderful, and mindful. The study of GM innovation is no exemption. It is the application part of the science which, now and again, produces logical inconsistencies, and not the science in essence. While there is general enthusiasm for the potential and effect of GM innovation, debates by and large encompass the change segment bringing about Genetically Modified Organisms (GMOs), which may represent certain dangers Inherent to the innovation. Along these lines, it isn't the science or the innovation which is a subject of contention, yet it is the mode and nature of its application, through procedures and advancements, which could mix logical inconsistencies.

## 1.2 Implications for Agricultural Sustainability

Despite the fact that the reception of GM crops has diminished the employments of pesticide and herbicide in certain nations, the ecological gatherings have been the most vocal in contradicting the GM crops because of their apparent likely dangers to the climate. The absence of unmistakable advantages to the purchaser has made the developing opposition GM nourishments in numerous nations. Reviews have indicated that there were contrasts in the purchaser's information, discernment and ability to burn-through GM food sources. Taiwan and the U.S. were steadier to GM nourishments than Japan, Norway and Spain. Be that as it may, even in the United States, there were advocates just as rivals to GM food sources. Besides, for the individuals who disdained the GM nourishments, they were eager to pay significant charges to the non-GM choices. Apparently, it is as yet basic to improve the yields of principle staple food harvests, for example, rice, corn (maize), wheat, and cassava for food security. Biotechnology, for example, GMOs holds the best guarantee to convey the following Green Revolution. Anyway the way to this objective won't be simple and smooth. Truth be told, it will be rough.

### **Transgenic crops - Modified traits and their use / purpose :**

Crops	Genetic Modification	Purpose
-------	----------------------	---------

Tomatoes, peas, peppers, Tropical fruits, broccoli,	Controlled ripening	Allows shipping of vine ripened tomatoes; improves shelf life, quality
Tomatoes, potatoes, corn, rice, lettuce, coffee, cabbage	Insect resistance	Reduces insecticide use and crop Loss
Peppers, tomatoes, cucumbers	Fungal	Reduces fungicide use and cropLoss
Potatoes, tomatoes, cantaloupe, squash, cucumbers, corn, oilseed	Viral resistance	Reduces diseases caused by plant viruses and, since insects carry
Soybeans, tomatoes, corn, cotton, oilseed rape	Herbicide tolerance	Improves weed control

Source: Food Marketing Institute, the Hale Group/Decision Resources, Inc., Food Processing and Biotechnology Magazines, 2000.

### 1.3 Biotechnology and Sustainable Agriculture

Biotechnology has been adding to manageable horticulture through the accompanying ways:

1. Increased obstruction against biotic burdens - creepy crawly irritations and illnesses
2. Increased obstruction against abiotic stresses - dry season, cold, flooding, and issue soils
3. Bioremediation of dirtied soils and bio locators for observing contamination
4. Increased profitability and quality
5. Enhanced nitrogen obsession and expanded supplement take-up
6. Improved maturation innovation
7. Improved advancements for producing biomass-determined energy
8. Generation of high supplement levels in supplement inadequate staple harvests, for example, rice.

Biotechnology adds to viable cultivating by reducing the dependence on agro-manufactured substances, particularly pesticides, through the game plan of characteristics giving flexibility or security from biotic and abiotic stresses. Intentionally picked characteristics from related or disengaged innate resources are consolidated regardless appealing genotypes. Proficient pyramiding of characteristics licenses blend of appealing characteristics in a solitary genotype for different attributes, for instance, protection from stresses, productivity, and supporting quality. Advancement, including new combinations and breeds, is a central part of viable Agriculture. Regardless, it isn't the single part of viable agribusiness. Non-mechanical

points, for instance, managerial plan , institutional and infrastructural maintain, advancement sharing and move instruments, and society mien and care are comparably, if not more huge, in giving the necessary conditions to ingestion and productive maltreatment of the development toward reasonable cultivating.

Biotechnology adds to supportable agribusiness by lessening the reliance on agro-synthetics, especially pesticides, through the sending of qualities giving resilience or protection from biotic and abiotic stresses. Painstakingly chose qualities from related or irrelevant hereditary assets are incorporated in any case alluring genotypes. Efficient pyramiding of qualities permits incorporation of alluring qualities in a single genotype for various characteristics, for example, resilience to stresses, profitability, and healthful quality. Innovation, including new assortments and breeds, is a fundamental component of feasible Agriculture. In any case, it isn't the lone component of manageable agribusiness. Non-innovative perspectives, for example, administrative arrangement , institutional and infrastructural uphold, innovation sharing and move instruments, and people groups demeanor and mindfulness are similarly, if not more significant, in giving the required conditions to retention and effective abuse of the innovation toward supportable agribusiness.

### **1.3.1 Economic concerns**

Putting up a GM nourishment for sale to the public is a long and exorbitant cycle, and obviously agri-biotech organizations wish to guarantee a productive profit for their speculation. Numerous new plant hereditary designing advances and GM plants have been protected, and patent encroachment is a major worry of agribusiness. However shopper advocates are stressed that protecting these new plant assortments will raise the cost of seeds so high that little ranchers and underdeveloped nations won't have the option to bear the cost of seeds for GM crops, in this way extending the hole between the well off and poor people.

One approach to battle conceivable patent encroachment is to present a "self destruction quality" into GM plants. These plants would be practical for just one developing season and would deliver sterile seeds that don't sprout. Ranchers would have to purchase a new inventory of seeds every year. Notwithstanding, this would be monetarily terrible for ranchers in underdeveloped nations who can't stand to purchase seed every year and generally put aside a part of their reap to plant in the next developing season.

### **1.3.2 Contradictions and Suggestions**

There are worries about dangers presented by certain parts of biotechnology. In the Context of biodiversity and economical agribusiness, the innovation intrinsic concerns are:

1. Depletion of biodiversity
2. Poor admittance to custom fitted hereditary assets

3. Adverse ecological impact
4. Negative impacts on human wellbeing.

The innovation rising above worry of enlarging of imbalance and helpless admittance to the new and arising Technologies and items on piece of non-industrial nations and asset needy individuals and most of little ranchers are a significant inconsistency. It is expected that a small bunch of chosen GMOs may supplant assorted customary societies, causing expanded hereditary weakness. This worry isn't unique in relation to the one brought about by the Green Revolution assortments which had dislodged native assortments.

Indeed, biotechnology could be utilized for expanding biodiversity fundamentally through the Channeling of qualities from wild and weedy family members into developed structures. A GMO created for a particular reason could fit another specialty. In this way, it won't just give an Ecological expansion yet additionally a superior choice for the executives of dangers. Studies, nonetheless, are expected to contemplate the effect of arrival of new improved Genotypes in open populaces on the quality and genotype recurrence in the long haul.

There are acceptable possibilities of improvement of single-line (apomictic) half breed assortments through the utilization of biotechnology. Other than financial ramifications (ranchers can Save seed for replanting of the half and half), huge scope planting of apodictic mixtures can cause hereditary disintegration and improve hereditary weakness.

Flat quality exchange to undesirable sources, driving, for instance, to the Development of more forceful weeds or wild family members with expanded protection from Environmental burdens or infections would cause both hereditary disintegration and biological Imbalance. The extraordinary instance of GM BT corn dust affecting the hatchlings of Monarch butterflies in the event that it lands on milk weed, the plant whereupon they feed, had gotten Wide consideration. The deficiency of fish variety related with the getaway of refined transgenic fish and its mating with its wild partner has all the earmarks of being a genuine danger. Be that as it may efficacies of such examinations should be discovered all the more practically prior to arriving at unequivocal resolutions. Multidisciplinary examines, including hereditary qualities, agronomy, soil, Microbiology, entomology, pathology, virology, among others, are expected to build up Benchmark information and for consistent observing of the effect of such deliveries. Some Caution that in the danger appraisal measure the "bar" ought not to be higher for hereditarily improved plants and the conventions should cover all plants paying little heed to the cycle.

Biotechnology and its application should consistently dodge complement of neediness and Socioeconomic imbalances as these are solid reason for ecological corruption, Political shakiness, and social unrests, which lead to more prominent impracticality. The latest thing of biotechnology improvement has commonly been

supportive of rich as the greater part of the Biotechnological examination and its application is in the possession of private areas of created nations, consequently augmenting the hole between the rich and poor people. This pattern is positively not reasonable. This logical inconsistency can be settled if the favorable to helpless highlights of Biotechnology is advanced. The public area in non-industrial nations should have the Responsibility and limit with respect to the advancement of supportive of helpless highlights of present day Biotechnology.

A portion of the inconsistencies have emerged because of biotechnology earning unduly High extents of public assets for examination and innovation improvement at the Cost of a portion of the customary however essential projects. Biotechnology should be considered distinctly to be a significant apparatus to deliver new items and administrations, which until now were Generally viewed as troublesome, if certainly feasible, intending to difficulties of food security and neediness lightening. Biotechnology should not be viewed as a panacea in itself, yet just as a significant and exceptional part incorporated with generally public innovative work frameworks, foundations, approaches, and projects. The logical inconsistencies and dangers encompassing the turn of events and use of Biotechnology ought to be settled deductively and straightforwardly for which singular nations ought to have the fundamental exploration, innovation appraisal, sway Monitoring, innovation refinement, and change limits.

#### **1.4 Conclusion**

Hereditarily altered food sources can possibly connect the interest and supply hole of Agricultural produce. GM innovation will assume an undeniably significant part for agrarian manageability later on. This innovation is probably going to create assortments of yields which can oppose nuisance or dry spell subsequently upgrading the efficiency to battle the food deficiency issues. At long last, in our interest to create more grains, Utmost consideration and alert is needed to guarantee there is no unintended damage to human wellbeing, climate because of this mechanical development.

#### **References**

1. Swaminathan, M.S. 2000. "Genetic Engineering and Food Security: Ecological and Livelihood Issues." In G.J. Persley and M.M. Lantin, eds., *Agricultural Biotechnology and the Poor: Proceedings of an International Conference* Washington, D.C., 21-22 October 1999.
2. Cook, R. James. 2000. "Science-Based Risk Assessment for the Approval and Use of Plants in Agricultural and other Environments." In G.J. Persley and M.M. Lantin, eds., *Agricultural Biotechnology and the Poor: Proceedings of an International Conference*, Washington, D.C., 21-22 October 1999. Washington, D.C.: Consultative Group on International Agricultural Research.

3. FAO. 1999. Information note on biosafety, presented at the Thirtieth Session of FAO Conferences, page 7.
4. FAO. 2000. Agriculture Towards 2015/2030, a technical interim report.
5. Juma, C. 1999. Biotechnology in the global economy: beyond technical advances and risks, *Agbio Forum* 2:218-222.
6. Leisinger, Klaus M. 2000. "Ethical Challenges of Agricultural Biotechnology for Developing Countries." In G.J. Persley and M.M. Lantin, eds., *Agricultural Biotechnology and the Poor: Proceedings of an International Conference Washington, D.C., 21-22 October 1999*. Washington, D.C.: Consultative Group on International Agricultural Research.
7. Persley, G.J. 2000. "Agricultural Biotechnology and the Poor: Promethean Science." In G.J. Persley and M.M. Lantin, eds., *Agricultural Biotechnology and the Poor: Proceedings of an International Conference Washington, D.C., 21-22 October 1999*. Washington: Consultative Group on International Agricultural Research.
8. Serageldin, I. 1999. Biotechnology and Food Security in the 21st Century. *Science* 285:387-389.
9. Singh, R.B. 1995. "Agricultural Biotechnology in the Asia Pacific Region" Pages 51- 121. In FAO, *Agricultural Biotechnology in the Developing World*, 1995.

\*\*\*